## Provo Peaks

- NEEDS ASSESSMENT SUMMARY FY24

FY2023 EOY Data Summary - Please provide a brief summary of your school data analysis \& identified needs
Date(s) of data analysis team meetings: May 30, 2023; June 5, 2023; June 8, 2023; July 14, 2023; July 31, 2023
Team members: Mark Burge, Dallas Brooks, Leilani Nautu, Kathy Mesenbrink

| Data Source | Did you meet your goal(s)? <br> Summary of need(s)/Guiding questions: <br> - Did you identify grade levels/teachers with specific needs? <br> - Did you identify specific subgroups with specific needs? (i.e. EL, SWD) <br> - Do you have specific learning goals? <br> - Do you have specific PD needs/goals? <br> - Do you have identified parent engagement needs? <br> - Do you have identified ML (EL) needs? |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Acadience Proficiency | Our fy23 goals were: Increase the percentage of students proficiency in grades K-6 reaching EOY benchmark or above benchmark from 65\% in 2021-2022 to 70\% in 2022-2023 on the Acadience Reading assessment. <br> Percent Proficient on Acadience |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Acadience Proficiency fy22 (composite) | Acadience Proficiency fy23 (composite) | ML Acadience Proficiency fy22 (composite) | ML Acadience Proficiency fy23 (composite) |
|  | Kinder | 64\% | 76\% | 63\% | 53\% |
|  | 1st | 54\% | 39\% | 27\% | 29\% |
|  | 2nd | 70\% | 61\% | 56\% | 40\% |
|  | 3rd | 60\% | 79\% | 44\% | 40\% |
|  | 4th | 72\% | 63\% | 32\% | 33\% |
|  | 5th | 59\% | 69\% | 16\% | 26\% |
|  | 6th | 73\% | 67\% | 44\% | 20\% |
|  | Whole School | 65\% | 65\% | 40\% | 34\% |


| Question | K | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | School |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Is our core instruction effective? <br> -Effective is defined as at least $80 \%$ of students meeting grade level benchmarks from core instruction alone. | 76\% | 39\% | 61\% | 79\% | 63\% | 69\% | 67\% | 65\% |
| What percentage of students who were at or above benchmark at the beginning of the year (BOY) are at or above Benchmark at the end of the year (EOY)? (Tier 1) <br> -Should be at least 95\% of students. | 100\% | 77\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 87\% | 95\% |
| What percentage of students who were Below Benchmark at BOY are at Benchmark/Above Benchmark at EOY? (Tier 2) <br> -Should be at least $80 \%$ of students. | 58\% | 14\% | 18\% | 47\% | 4\% | 22\% | 5\% | 24\% |
| How many students who were Below Benchmark at the BOY are now Well Below Benchmark at EOY? (Tier 2 \& 3) <br> -Should be 0\% of students | 0\% | 60\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 0\% | 44\% | 15\% |
| What percentage of those who were Well Below Benchmark at the BOY are no longer Well Below Benchmark at EOY? (Tier 2 \& 3) <br> -At least 80\% should no longer be Well Below Benchmark | 64\% | 20\% | 35\% | 42\% | 33\% | 50\% | 9\% | 36\% |

Summary: The goal for the 2023 school year was to increase the percentage of students proficiency in K-6 reaching EOY benchmark or above benchmark from $65 \%$ in 2021-2022 to $70 \%$ in 2022-2023. As a whole school, we were unable to make this goal, instead staying at exactly $65 \%$ proficiency, equal to EOY of 2022. Broken down, two of the grade levels (Kinder and 3rd ) made this goal reaching 70\% proficiency or higher. Grade levels that stayed at $65 \%$ or higher were: Kinder, 3rd, 5th, and 6th.

Need:

- Support in Tiers 1, 2, \& 3 instruction to improve teacher efficacy and student outcomes


|  | - Heavy emphasis on ML students in K-2nd grades; especially K \& 1st grade <br> - Professional development on Pathways of Progress and Progress Monitoring in Acadience <br> - An expectation of following guidelines for progress monitoring and using data with students, during PLCs, and during collaboration <br> - Continued professional development on Tier 2 intervention programs for students who are not making growth provided to classroom teachers and those leading intervention groups <br> - The expectation of following Tier 2 intervention programs with fidelity <br> fy24 Goal(s): Increase the percentage of students in grades K-6 reaching typical, above typical or well above typical progress by $2 \%$ based on Acadience BOY to EOY scores on the Acadience Reading assessment to reach $80 \%$ of students making growth. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RISE ELA <br> Proficiency/Growth | Our goal during fy 23 was: Increase the percentage of students proficiency in grades 3-6 reaching benchmark or above benchmark by $5 \%$ on the RISE ELA assessment. <br> Data Analysis |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | fy22 | fy23 (raw data) | SPED fy 23 | ML fy23 |
|  | 3rd | 40\% | 54\% | 38\% | 7\% |
|  | 4th | 47\% | 38\% | 13\% | 13\% |
|  | 5th | 47\% | 57\% | 67\% | 5\% |
|  | 6th | 38\% | 50\% | 33\% | 4\% |
|  | 3rd-6th School Wide | 43\% | 50\% | 39\% | 7\% |
|  | Summary: The goal for proficiency in benchmark 7\%. All of the grades inc 6 th grade increased $12 \%$ grades, equaling to $7 \%$ assessment. <br> Need: <br> - Establish with st <br> - Extra support su curriculum in Tie <br> - Implementation <br> - Continued profe | schoo <br> on the oficien de dec de. 39 <br> culty ment ction hed b velop | increase the per sessment. As a w 4th grade: 3rd $g$ $\%$. Less than 15 with disabilities <br> gh expectations aching while imp <br> s for ML studen 2 intervention pro | students in school was a ased $14 \%$, 5 tudents reac 3rd-6th mad <br> s <br> researched <br> classroom | ching proficiency ased $10 \%$, $y$ in all of th on the RISE <br> es and |


|  | - The expectation of following Tier 2 intervention programs with fidelity <br> - Familiarity with and implementation of student accommodations on IEPs <br> - Regular collaboration with Resource and Special Education teachers <br> fy24 Goal(s): Increase the percentage of all students proficiency in grades 3-6 reaching benchmark or above benchmark by 3\% on the RISE ELA assessment; increase the percentage of ML students proficiency in grades 3-6 reaching benchmark or above benchmark by 5\%; and increase the percentage of students with disabilities' proficiency in grades 3-6 reaching benchmark or above benchmark by 5\%. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RISE MA <br> Proficiency/Growth | Our goal during fy23 was: Increase the percentage of students proficiency in grades 3-6 reaching benchmark or above benchmark by $5 \%$ on the RISE Math assessment. <br> RISE Math Proficiency |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | fy22 | fy23 (raw data) | SPED fy23 | ML fy23 |
|  | 3rd | 44\% | 53\% | 38\% | 20\% |
|  | 4th | 49\% | 54\% | 13\% | 33\% |
|  | 5th | 63\% | 52\% | 44\% | 5\% |
|  | 6th | 38\% | 43\% | 0\% | 4\% |
|  | 3rd-6th School Wide | 50\% | 54\% | 27\% | 16\% |
|  | Summary: The goal for proficiency in benchmar by $1 \%$, having reached and 4th and 6th grades proficiency, and ML stud students in 6th grade mad students with disabilities <br> Need: <br> - Establish with st <br> - Extra support su curriculum in Tie <br> - Heavy emphasis <br> - Implementation <br> - Familiarity with <br> - Regular collabor | school <br> on the <br> ciency <br> by 5\% <br> h grad <br> roficien <br> 3rd-6 <br> culty th <br> mento <br> tion <br> udents; <br> hed ba <br> mentat <br> Resou | increase the perc issessment. As a to $50 \%$ the prev 5th grade decrea proficiency. ML 16\% proficiency fiency on the RIS <br> gh expectations aching while impl <br> th \& 6th grade s for ML student t accommodation <br> cial Education tea | students in school was 3rd grade in $1 \%$. ML stu 5th grade students in assessment. <br> s researched | aching eting this g iency by 9 3 made 20 ciency, and . $16 \%$ of es and |


|  | - Curriculum fidelity <br> - Continued support with district provided coach(es) <br> fy24 Goal(s): Increase the percentage of all students proficiency in grades 3-6 reaching benchmark or above benchmark by $3 \%$ on the RISE Math assessment; increase the percentage of ML students proficiency in grades 3-6 reaching benchmark or above benchmark by $5 \%$; and increase the percentage of students with disabilities' proficiency in grades 3-6 reaching benchmark or above benchmark by $5 \%$. |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Into Math Growth | Our goal during fy23 was: Increase the percentage of students proficiency in grades K-6 reaching EOY at or above grade level from by 5\% in 2021-2022 to the 2022-2023 school year on the Into Math Growth Measure Assessment. <br> IntoMath Growth Measure |  |  |  |
|  |  | fy22 IntoMath Growth | fy23 IntoMath Growth | ML fy23 IntoMath Growth |
|  | 1st | 91\% | 56\% | 40\% |
|  | 2nd | 84\% | 66\% | 18\% |
|  | 3rd | 84\% | 71\% | 36\% |
|  | 4th | 77\% | 67\% | 38\% |
|  | 5th | 55\% | 53\% | 16\% |
|  | 6th | 38\% | 29\% | 9\% |
|  | Whole School | 72\% | 56\% | 26\% |

Summary: As a whole, the percentage of students reaching proficiency on the Into Math Growth Measure Assessment decreased by $16 \%$. All grades decreased in proficiency on this assessment: 1st by $35 \%$, 2nd by $18 \%$, 3rd by $13 \%$, 4th by $10 \%$, 5 th by $2 \%$, and 6 th by $9 \%$. ML students school-wide, with the exception of Kindergarten, were $26 \%$ proficient on the IntoMath Growth Measure Assessment. The ML students who made the most growth on this assessment were in grades 1 st at $40 \%$, 4th at $38 \%$, and 3 rd at $36 \%$. Meanwhile, 2 nd grade ML students were at $18 \%$ proficiency, 5 th grade was at $16 \%$, and 6th grade was at $29 \%$.

## Need:

- Establish with staff and faculty the need for high expectations of students
- Extra support such as PD, mentoring, and coaching while implementing researched based strategies and curriculum in Tier 1 instruction
- Heavy emphasis on ML students; especially 2nd, 5th, \& 6th grade
- Implementation of researched based strategies for ML students

|  | - Curriculum fidelity <br> - Continued support with district provided coach(es) <br> fy24 Goal(s): Increase the percentage of students proficiency in grades K-6 at or above grade level at EOY by 5\% in the 2023-2024 school year on the IntoMath Growth Measure Assessment. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RISE Science | Our goal during fy23 was: Increase the percentage of students proficiency in grades 4-6 reaching benchmark or above benchmark by $5 \%$ on the RISE Science assessment. <br> Data Analysis |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | fy22 | fy23 | SPED fy23 | ML fy23 |
|  | 4th | 46\% | 48\% | 13\% | 22\% |
|  | 5th | 47\% | 49\% | 33\% | 0\% |
|  | 6th | 43\% | 52\% | 33\% | 8\% |
|  | 4th-6th School Wide | 45\% | 50\% | 35\% | 10\% |

Summary: Our goal for fy 23 was to increase our proficiency of students in grades 4th-6th meeting benchmark by $5 \%$. We were able to make this goal for fy 23 as $50 \%$ of our students in grades 4 th- 6 th met the benchmark, as compared to $45 \%$ of students in fy22. $22 \%$ of ML students in 4th grade met proficiency, while $0 \%$ of $M L$ students in 5th grade and $8 \%$ of $M L$ students in 6 th grade made proficiency, totally $10 \%$ proficiency for our ML students in grades 4 th -6 th. $35 \%$ of students with disabilities in grades 4th-6th made proficiency on the RISE Science assessment.

## Need:

- Establish with staff and faculty the need for high expectations of students
- Extra support such as PD, mentoring, and coaching while implementing researched based strategies and curriculum in Tier 1 instruction
- Familiarity with and implementation of student accommodations on IEPs
- Regular collaboration with Resource and Special Education teachers
- Integration of general education and CAS classes for science rotations
- Scheduled science time on master schedule
fy24 Goal(s): Increase the percentage of all students proficiency in grades 3-6 reaching benchmark or above benchmark by 3\% on the RISE Science assessment; increase the percentage of ML students proficiency in grades 3-6 reaching benchmark or above benchmark by $5 \%$; and increase the percentage of students with disabilities' proficiency in grades 3-6 reaching benchmark or above benchmark by $5 \%$.


## ACCESS for ELs <br> - Proficiency

- Growth
- Students who reached proficiency
*Refer to USBE WiDA growth chart for accurate growth measures; Use Ellevation report

Our goals during fy 23 was: Increase the percentage of students identified as ML in grades K-6 reaching .4 growth on ACCESS from $35 \%$ in 2021-2022 to $40 \%$ in 2022-2023.

- ELs with a fy22 WIDA ACCESS Score of 4.5 or above will score a 5 or higher on their 2022-2023 WIDA ACCESS.


## Data Analysis

|  | fy22 <br> $\mathbf{1}$ | fy23 <br> $\mathbf{1}$ | fy22 <br> $\mathbf{2}$ | fy23 <br> $\mathbf{2}$ | fy22 <br> $\mathbf{3}$ | fy23 <br> $\mathbf{3}$ | fy22 <br> $\mathbf{4}$ | fy23 <br> $\mathbf{4}$ | fy22 <br> $\mathbf{5}$ | fy23 <br> $\mathbf{5}$ | fy22 <br> $\mathbf{6}$ | fy23 <br> $\mathbf{6}$ | fy22 <br> school | fy23 <br> school |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Growth <br> of .1 or <br> more | $100 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $65 \%$ |
| Growth <br> of .4 or <br> more | $89 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $67 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $94 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $53 \%$ |
| Students <br> at 4.5 <br> fy22 who <br> reached <br> 5 fy23 |  | $0 / 1$ |  | $0 / 0$ |  | $1 / 1$ |  | $0 / 0$ |  | $0 / 2$ |  | $1 / 1$ |  | $40 \%$ |

Summary: As a whole school, 14 more percent of students made growth compared to the previous year. In fy $23,65 \%$ of students made growth, while in fy22, $51 \%$ of students made growth on the WIDA ACCESS assessment. Our goal of $40 \%$ of students who made .4 or more growth was surpassed by $13 \%$ as we had $53 \%$ of students make at least .4 growth compared to the previous year at $32 \%$. Our secondary goal of having our students who scored a 4.5-4.9 the previous year reaching a 5.0 in 2022-2023 was met by $40 \%$.

## Need:

- Establish with staff and faculty the need for high expectations of students
- Extra support such as PD, mentoring, and coaching while implementing researched based strategies and curriculum in Tier 1 and Tier 2 instruction
- Regular collaboration with ML Supervisor and ML instructional assistants
- Heavy emphasis on using the four domains (listening, speaking, reading, and writing) during Tier 1 instruction
fy24 Goal(s): Increase the percentage of students identified as ML in grades K-6 reaching at least . 1 growth on ACCESS from $65 \%$ in 2022-2023 to $70 \%$ in 2023-2024; and increase the percentage of students identified as ML in grades K-6 reaching .4 growth on ACCESS from 53\% in 2022-2023 to $55 \%$ in 2023-2024.

Panorama Results Grades 3-5

| Grit | $57 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Growth Mindset | $53 \%$ |
| Self-Efficacy | $60 \%$ |
| Self-Management | $71 \%$ |
| Social Awareness | $69 \%$ |

Educator's Handbook - Office Referrals

| fy22 |  | fy23 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 155 total |  | 217 total |  |
| Physical Aggression | 23\% | Physical Aggression | 35\% |
| Disruptions | 8\% | Disruptions | 5\% |
| Safety/Endangerment | 8\% | Safety/Endangerment | 5\% |
| Property Destruction | 7\% | Property Destruction | 0\% |
| Swearing/Profanity | 6\% | Swearing/Profanity | 9\% |
| Defiance | 6\% | Defiance | 7\% |
| Other | 5\% | Other | 3\% |
| Threat/Intimidation | 4\% | Threat/Intimidation | 5\% |
| Theft/Stealing | 4\% | Theft/Stealing | 2\% |
| Disrespect | 4\% | Disrespect | 0\% |
| Fighting | 4\% | Fighting | 3\% |
| Sexual Harassment | 3\% | Sexual Harassment | 2\% |
| Inappropriate Sexual Behavior | 3\% | Inappropriate Sexual Behavior | 2\% |


| Name Calling | $3 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Harassment Non-Sexual | $2 \%$ |
| Verbal Aggression | $2 \%$ |
| Bullying/Retaliation | $2 \%$ |
| Inappropriate Tech Use | $1 \%$ |
| Left School w/o Permission | $1 \%$ |
| Possession of Lighter | $1 \%$ |
| Phone Violation | $1 \%$ |
| Off Task | $1 \%$ |
| False Information/Lying | $1 \%$ |
| Tobacco | $1 \%$ |
| Dress Code | $0 \%$ |
| Threat/Intimidation | $0 \%$ |
| Inappropriate Sexual Language/Gestures |  |


| Name Calling | $0 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| Harassment Non-Sexual | $0 \%$ |
| Verbal Aggression | $2 \%$ |
| Bullying/Retaliation | $6 \%$ |
| Inappropriate Tech Use | $2 \%$ |
| Left School w/o Permission | $0 \%$ |
| Weapons/Explosives | $1 \%$ |
| Phone Violation | $0 \%$ |
| Off Task | $0 \%$ |
| False Information/Lying | $0 \%$ |
| Tobacco | $0 \%$ |
| Dress Code | $0 \%$ |
| Threat/Intimidation | $5 \%$ |
| Inappropriate Sexual Language/Gestures | 5 |
|  |  |

Summary: The greatest area in which students feel successful in grades 3-5 on the Panorama survey was
self-management at $71 \%$. The rest of the survey results were as follows: 2) Social Awareness $69 \%$, 3) Self Efficacy 60\%, 4) Grit 57\%, and 5) Growth Mindset 53\%. Educator's Handbook showed more office referrals, 217, as compared to the previous year at 155. Although a rise in office referrals, we believe the previous year data was inaccurate and documentation was not occurring as regularly as it should have. We are planning on numbers increasing as we continue to encourage teachers to use Educator's Handbook.

## Need:

- Establish with staff and faculty the need for high expectations of students
- Establish with staff and faculty the importance of documenting student behaviors on Educator's Handbook
- Use of Puma Paws to encourage and recognize students

